

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,834	01/24/2001	Greg Arnold	PALM-3561.US.P	5518
7590 05/14/2004		EXAMINER _		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LIEN, TAN	
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suil February			2141	
		DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

			·	/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/769,834	ARNOLD, GREG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tan Lien	2141				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2001.					
2a)	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawdlaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or other and/or other applications.	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Apprite to the property of the	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim(s) 1-4, 8-10, 12-14, and 18-20 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frantz (US Patent 6,003,070).

Claim(s) 1, 12: Frantz discloses a method of using an email message to control a computer resource, comprising:

receiving an email message from a sender (col. 4, lines 44-48; wherein the email message is sent by the technician and received by the email interface device);

recognizing a reserved command word within the email message (col. 4, lines 44-50);

interpreting the email message as a command to be carried out on an available computer resource (col. 4, lines 59-64; wherein the email interpreter is referenced in FIG. 1 reference number 16 of Frantz, and the available computer resource is the data base (FIG. 1 ref. 24 of Frantz) or equipment (FIG. 1, ref. 20); and

generating a command for execution on the available computer resource (col. 4, lines 59-64).

Claim(s) 2, 13: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising: receiving a result from the available computer resource (col. 4, lines 62-64); and sending a reply email message communicating the result to the sender (col. 4, lines 62-64).

Claim(s) 3, 14: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 2, wherein the computer resource comprises a computer database (col. 4, line 60 and col. 6, lines 63-64), the command comprises a database query (col. 4, line 60) and wherein the result comprises the result of the database query (col. 4, lines 59-64).

Claim(s) 4, 20: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the resource comprises a computer database (col. 4, line 60 and col. 6, lines 63-64) and the command comprises a database query (col. 4, line 60).

Claim(s) 8, 18: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 1, carried out on a programmed processor (FIG. 1, ref. 16 of Frantz) protected by a firewall (col. 3, lines 39-43).

Claim(s) 9, 19: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 8, wherein the programmed processor comprises a server (FIG. 1, ref. 16 of Frantz; wherein the email interpreter and generator servers equipment, database, and other things) providing an intralan (assumed to an intranet or LAN) resource (FIG. 1, ref. 10 of Frantz; wherein intralan is the component that connects the printer, terminal, equipment, database, and email interpreter/generator) within the firewall (col. 3, lines 39-43).

Claim(s) 10: Frantz discloses the method according to claim 1, carried out by executing a set of machine readable instructions stored on an electronic storage medium (col. 5, lines 61-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 5, 6, and 15 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz (US Patent 6,003,070) in view of Nielsen (US Patent 5,864,684).

Claim(s) 5, 15: Frantz discloses a method as described in claim 1 and 12 respectively above. Frantz fails to disclose the reserved command word comprising a part of a

subject portion of the email message. Nielsen, however, teaches a "SUSPEND" command in the Subject: field-body of the message (col. 10, lines 11-15 of Nielsen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Nielsen's teaching of command words as part of the subject portion of the email message into Frantz's subject portion of the email because it would allow Frantz's method to use it as a command to suspend the address of the subscriber (col. 10, lines 14-17 of Nielsen).

Claim(s) 6: Frantz discloses a method as described in claim 1 above. Frantz fails to disclose the interpreting comprises parsing the email message into parts defining the computer resource and the command. Nielsen, however, teaches parsing of a "SUSPEND" command (col. 10, lines 33-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Nielsen's teaching of parsing a suspended command into Frantz's email method of parsing the email message into parts defining the computer resource and the command. The reason why Frantz's method would parse the suspend command into parts defining the subscriber's address portion is because Frantz wanted to notify the subscriber of the suspension via the subscriber's address (col. 10, lines 33-35).

Claim(s) 7, 16, and 17 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz (US Patent 6,003,070) in view of Safari Tech Books Online, PalmPilot: The Ultimate Guide, Second Edition by David Pogue, hereinafter referred to as Pogue.

Art Unit: 2141

Claim(s) 7,16,17: Frantz discloses a method as described in claims 1 and 12 respectively above. Frantz fails to disclose the sending of the email message from the sender originates at a palmtop computer. Pogue, however, teaches the use of email applications in a PalmPilot (chapter 5.6 Mail). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Frantz to use the palmtop computer to send the email message. The motivation would be to carry the light-weight computer anywhere for reading en route or in hotel room (chapter 10, PalmPilot: The Electronic Book).

Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz (US Patent 6,003,070) in view of Nielsen (US Patent 5,864,684) and Pogue (PalmPilot).

Claim(s) 11: Frantz discloses a method of using an email message to control a computer resource, comprising:

receiving an email message from a sender (col. 4, lines 44-48 of Frantz);

recognizing a reserved word in the email message (col. 4, lines 44-50);

interpreting the email message as a command to be carried out on an available computer resource (col. 4, lines59-64);

generating a command (col. 4, lines 59-64 of Frantz) as a database query (col. 4, line 60 of Frantz) for execution on the computer database (col. 4, line 60 and col. 6, lines 63-64 of Frantz);

receiving a result from the available computer database (col. 4, lines 62-64 of Frantz); and

sending a reply email message communicating the result to the sender (col. 4, lines 62-64 of Frantz).

Frantz discloses a method of receiving an email message from a sender but fails to disclose the sender of the message originates at a palmtop computer. Pogue, however, teaches the use of email applications in a PalmPilot (chapter 5.6 Mail). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Frantz to use the palmtop computer to send the email message. The motivation would be to carry the light-weight computer anywhere for reading en route or in hotel room (chapter 10, PalmPilot: The Electronic Book).

Frantz discloses a method of recognizing a reserved word in the email message but fails to disclose the reserved command word comprising a part of a subject portion of the email message. Nielsen, however, teaches a "SUSPEND" command in the Subject: field-body of the message (col. 10, lines 11-15 of

Art Unit: 2141

Nielsen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Page 8

of the invention to combine Nielsen's teaching of command words as part of the

subject portion of the email message into Frantz's subject portion of the email

because it would allow Frantz's method to use it as a command to suspend the

address of the subscriber (col. 10, lines 14-17 of Nielsen).

Frantz discloses a method for interpreting the email message as a command to

be carried out on an available computer resource but fails to disclose the

interpreting comprises parsing the email message into parts defining the

computer resource and the command. Nielsen, however, teaches parsing of a

"SUSPEND" command (col. 10, lines 33-35). It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Nielsen's

teaching of parsing a suspended command into Frantz's email method of parsing

the email message into parts defining the computer resource and the command.

The reason why Frantz's method would parse the suspend command into parts

defining the subscriber's address portion is because Frantz wanted to notify the

subscriber of the suspension via the subscriber's address (col. 10, lines 33-35).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tan Lien whose telephone number is (703) 305-6018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30am to 6pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3718.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [tan.lien@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

RUPAL DHARIA

SUPERVISORY